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NMR Spin Locking of Proton Magnetization under a Frequency-Switched Lee–Goldburg Pulse Sequence
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The spin dynamics of NMR spin locking of proton magnetization under a frequency-switched Lee–Goldburg (FSLG) pulse sequence is investigated for a better understanding of the line-narrowing mechanism in PISEMA experiments. For the sample of oriented 15N 1,3,5,7-labeled gramicidin A in hydrated DMPC bilayers, it is found that the spin–lattice relaxation time $T_{1I}^{H}$ in the tilted rotating frame is about five times shorter when the $^1$H magnetization is spin locked at the magic angle by the FSLG sequence compared to the simple Lee–Goldburg sequence. It is believed that the rapid phase alternation of the effective fields during the FSLG cycles results in averaging of the spin lock field so that the spin lock becomes less efficient. A FSLG supercycle has been suggested here to slow the phase alternation. It has been demonstrated experimentally that a modified PISEMA pulse sequence with such supercycles gives rise to about 30% line narrowing in the dipolar dimension in the PISEMA spectra compared to a standard PISEMA pulse sequence. © 2002 Elsevier Science
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With the use of a frequency-switched Lee–Goldburg (FSLG) pulse sequence ($I$, 2) to sufficiently suppress proton homonuclear dipolar coupling, PISEMA (polarization inversion spin exchange at magic angle) (3) has dramatically improved the resolution of static solid-state anisotropic dipolar and chemical shift correlation spectra. The PISEMA experiments have been widely used to obtain orientational constraints from uniformly labeled membrane proteins in a lamellar phase lipid environment (4–8). In particular, the resonance patterns in the two-dimensional PISEMA spectra form the so-called PISA wheels (polarity index slant angle) (9, 10), which uniquely define the helical tilt with respect to the bilayer normal without a need for resonance assignments. Here the spin dynamics of NMR spin locking of proton magnetization under the FSLG sequence is investigated for a better understanding of the line-narrowing mechanism in the PISEMA experiments.

Cross-polarization (CP) transfer (11) of magnetization between the abundant $I$ spin (e.g., $^1$H) and the dilute $S$ spin (e.g., $^{15}$N) is generally achieved by spin locking both $I$ and $S$ spins with radiofrequency (RF) amplitudes that fulfill the Hartmann–Hahn match condition $\omega_{1I} = \omega_{1S}$ (12), where $\omega_{1I}$ and $\omega_{1S}$ refer to the amplitudes of the RF fields applied to the $I$ and $S$ spins, respectively. Transient dipolar oscillations during the CP transfer have been observed in various systems that exhibit a relatively weak proton–proton coupling network (13–16). In these systems, the magnetization $M$ as a function of the spin lock time $t$ can be modeled (15, 16) by using the following equation modified from Müller et al. (13),

$$M(t) = M_0 \exp\left(-t/T_{1I}^{H}\right) \left(1 - 0.5 \exp(-Rt) - 0.5 \exp(-1.5Rt) \cos\left(\frac{\Delta \nu t}{2}\right)\right),$$

where $T_{1I}^{H}$ is the proton spin–lattice relaxation time in the rotating frame, $R$ refers to the proton spin diffusion rate, and $\Delta \nu$ is the magnitude of the orientation-dependent dipolar interactions between the observed $S$ spin and the directly bonded protons. The oscillation term in the above equation results from the coherent energy transfer between protons and $S$ spins and the oscillation frequency reveals the orientation-dependent heteronuclear interaction. However, the dipolar oscillation is primarily damped by the proton–proton spin diffusion due to the strong coupling of the directly bonded protons with remote protons. As an example, for $^{15}$N 1,3,5,7-labeled gramicidin A in hydrated DMPC bilayers, the proton spin diffusion $R^{-1}$ at 9.4 T is about 280 $\mu$s (16, 17), while the $T_{1I}^{H}$ is on an order of milliseconds (vide infra).

In the PISEMA experiment (3), the spin exchange between the protons and the $S$ spins occurs during the Hartmann–Hahn match condition when the proton magnetization lies along the magic angle with the RF irradiation of FSLG (18), suppressing
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the strong proton homonuclear dipolar interaction. By analogy to the spin dynamics during cross polarization as in Eq. [1], the spin exchange can be described as \(15, 19\)

\[
\rho(t) = \exp(-t/T_{1p}^H) \exp(-1.5Rt) \cos \left( \frac{\sin \theta_M \Delta \nu t}{2} \right). \tag{2}
\]

where \(T_{1p}^H\) and \(R\) represent the spin–lattice relaxation time and proton spin diffusion rate in the tilted rotating frame, respectively, and \(\theta_M = 54.7^\circ\) is the magic angle along which the proton magnetization lies during FSLG. The oscillation frequency is thus scaled by a factor of \(\sin \theta_M\). The FSLG sequence sufficiently suppresses the strong proton homonuclear dipolar interaction, resulting in a significant decrease of the proton spin diffusion. Therefore, the decay of the spin exchange oscillation is now governed by the spin–lattice relaxation time \(T_{1p}^H\) in the tilted rotating frame. Consequently, the resulting linewidths in the dipolar dimension are significantly narrower than those obtained in a conventional separated-local-field experiment (20) where the linewidths are governed by \(T_2\), which is generally much shorter than \(T_{1p}^H\) in solids. In addition, the coupling with the remote protons contributes more significantly to the linewidths in the separated-local-field experiment than in the PISEMA experiment (19). The study here will focus on the spin locking of the proton magnetization under different spin lock schemes, particularly the FSLG sequence, because \(T_{1p}^H\) may be directly associated with the dipolar linewidth in the PISEMA spectra.

A pulse sequence commonly used for indirect \(T_{1p}^H\) measurements is diagrammed in Fig. 1A. In the beginning of the sequence, the \(^1\text{H}\) magnetization is rotated from the \(z\) axis to the \(y\) axis in the rotating frame by a 90° pulse and then spin locked along the \(y\) axis by a continuous-wave (CW) on-resonance irradiation. Finally, \(^{15}\text{N}\) signals are polarized by cross polarization and detected during high-power proton decoupling. Consequently, the proton magnetization as a function of spin lock time \(t\) can be indirectly monitored by the \(^{15}\text{N}\) signal intensities.

In Fig. 1B, the \(^1\text{H}\) magnetization is initially flipped to the magic angle by a 54.7° pulse and then spin locked by a Lee–Goldburg (LG) sequence (1). Here LG\(_{x,y}\) refers to an RF pulse with amplitude \(\omega_{1H}\) applied along the \(+y\) direction at the positive offset of \(\Delta \omega\) from the \(^1\text{H}\) resonance lines fulfilling the condition of \(\omega_{1H} = \sqrt{2}\Delta \omega\). Thus the effective field has a 2π rotation, i.e., \(\tau = 2\pi/\omega_{\text{eff}}\), where \(\omega_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{2}\Delta \omega\).

Gramicidin A (gA) is a polypeptide of 15 amino acid residues, whose high-resolution structure in lipid bilayers has been defined with 120 orientational restraints from solid-state NMR (21, 22). Here about 10 mg of \(^{15}\text{N}\) labeled gramicidin A in hydrated (50% by weight) DMPC bilayers (1/8 peptide/lipid molar ratio) oriented with the bilayer normal parallel to the magnetic field direction was used for our measurements. In such an oriented sample, each of these \(^{15}\text{N}\) labeled sites contributes to a signal at 198 ppm (21). Figure 2 shows the semilog plot of normalized \(^{15}\text{N}\) signal intensities versus spin lock time \(t\) obtained from the pulse sequences shown in Fig. 1. By fitting the experimental data, it is found that the measured \(T_{1p}^H\) was 3.51 ms at the on-resonance spin lock while it was 7.37 ms by the LG spin lock along the magic angle. In other words, the spin–lattice relaxation process in the tilted rotating frame is about two times slower along the magic angle than in the \(x–y\) plane. In fact, the on-resonance RF irradiation scales down the proton homonuclear dipolar interaction by 1/2 (23). The residual homonuclear
dipolar interaction in the rotating frame is commuted with the RF field and thus does not contribute to the decay of the magnetization in the rotating frame. However, the high-order homonuclear dipolar interaction (not commuted with the RF field) may result in decay of the $^1$H magnetization along the spin lock field, particularly in a relatively weak RF irradiation. In our experiments, the RF amplitude used was just 45.5 kHz, comparable with the homonuclear dipolar interaction in the system studied here. On the other hand, the RF field at the magic angle, to the first order, completely suppresses the strong proton homonuclear dipolar interaction so that the spin lock field becomes much larger than residual internal interactions in the spin system, thus resulting in a slow $T_{1p}^H$ process.

Surprisingly, when the $^1$H magnetization along the magic angle was spin locked by the FSLG sequence, the measured $T_{1p}^H$ was only 1.44 ms at $\tau = 17.96 \mu s$ (i.e., $2\pi$ rotation), about five times shorter than that using the LG spin lock scheme. This implies that the $^1$H magnetization decays much faster along the magic angle under the FSLG sequence than during the simple LG sequence in spite of the fact that the former sequence suppresses the proton homonuclear dipolar interaction more efficiently than the latter one. When used in the spin exchange experiments, FSLG gives rise to considerably longer heteronuclear dipolar oscillation than the simple LG sequence (24). This can be explained by Eq. [2] that the damping of the heteronuclear dipolar oscillation is governed by $T_{1p}^H$ in the tilted rotating frame and the proton spin diffusion rate $R$. Therefore, although FSLG exhibits a short $T_{1p}^H$, it significantly decreases the proton spin diffusion by sufficiently suppressing the strong proton homonuclear dipolar interaction. When $\tau = 35.92 \mu s$ (i.e., $4\pi$ rotation), $T_{1p}^H$ obtained was 2.53 ms, as shown in Fig. 2. Apparently, $T_{1p}^H$ under the FSLG sequence becomes longer with a slower flip-flop rate of the effective field. As discussed by Ramamoorthy et al. (24, 25), the phase transients during the flip-flop may result in a reduction of the spin locked signals. An additional $\pi$ pulse has been introduced between the flip-flop to refocus the phase transient effect (25). Such phase transients have dramatic effects in multiple-pulse experiments such as CRAMPS (26, 27); thus it is essential to perform systematic tune-up procedures (28–30) to minimize the phase transient effects. In the FSLG sequence, the phase transients are only present in the beginning and the end of the pulse length of $\tau$, especially for a relatively long pulse length, as in our experiments where $\tau$ was 17.96 and 35.92 $\mu$s, respectively. The phase transients deviate the effective field from the magic angle resulting in an imperfect spin lock field and eventually contribute to the loss of the spin locked magnetization. These phase transient effects in the PISEMA experiments may be minimized by a systematic tune-up procedure (24). However, in a separate experiment where the spectrometer was systematically tuned, a phenomenon similar to that in Fig. 2 was observed when using the phase ramp FSLG (unpublished results). Moreover, it was found that a shorter $T_{1p}^H$ was observed when the larger RF amplitude was used for FSLG (i.e., the shorter $\tau$). Therefore, it is believed that, although the phase transients during the flip-flop of the effective field contribute to the loss of the spin lock signals, the significant reduction of the spin–lattice relaxation time $T_{1p}^H$ in the tilted rotating frame with the FSLG sequence actually results from the insufficient spin lock field. Figure 3 shows the $^{15}$N spectra of the $^{15}$N$_{1,3,5,7}$-labeled gramicidin A in hydrated DMPC bilayers obtained from the pulse sequence of Fig. 1C with a fixed spin lock time of 862.08 $\mu$s. At $\tau = 17.96 \mu s$ (i.e., $2\pi$ rotation with a total of 24 cycles), the $^{15}$N signal intensity is considerably reduced compared to $\tau = 35.92 \mu s$ (i.e., $4\pi$ rotation with a total of 12 cycles). The sign of the effective field changes twice in each cycle. Thus for a given spin lock time more cycles mean that the effective field alternates its direction more frequently. As a result, the magnetization decays faster. This was also observed in the simultaneous phase-inversion cross-polarization scheme (31). In fact, although the strong proton homonuclear dipolar interaction is sufficiently suppressed by the FSLG sequence, the net rotation of the RF field over each cycle is zero due to the $2\pi$ rotation of the opposite effective fields of the two LG units (32, 33). This implies that the average effective spin lock field over the FSLG cycles may become comparable with residual internal interactions in the system such as the field inhomogeneity and high-order homonuclear dipolar interactions, so that the spin lock becomes less efficient, thus leading to a short $T_{1p}^H$. It is anticipated that $T_{1p}^H$ in the tilted rotating frame of the FSLG
FIG. 3. 15N spectra of the 15N1,3,5,7-labeled gramicidin A obtained from the CMX 400 NMR spectrometer by using the pulse sequence shown in Fig. 1C with a constant spin lock time of 862.08 μs. The experimental parameters were the same as in Fig. 2 but using different effective field rotations for each LG unit: (A) τ = 17.96 μs (i.e., 2π rotation) with a total of 24 cycles; (B) τ = 35.92 μs (i.e., 4π rotation) with a total of 12 cycles.

may become even shorter with higher RF amplitude because a rapid phase alternation of the effective field may result in a better averaging of the RF spin lock field.

Figure 4A shows a standard pulse sequence for PISEMA experiments. Following a conventional cross polarization from protons to 15N, the 1H magnetization is spin locked by a FSLG sequence. At the same time, the phase of the spin lock field on the 15N channel is alternated synchronously with the change of the effective field direction of the LG units in the FSLG sequence, enabling the coherent energy transfer between the protons and 15N by cross polarization. The t1 increment corresponds to an integral number of the FSLG cycles. In the end, the 15N signals encoded with the 15N–1H heteronuclear dipolar interaction are detected under the high-power proton decoupling. In our experiments, the RF amplitude during t1 (i.e., the FSLG period) was decreased in order to fulfill the cross-polarization matching condition without changing the 15N RF amplitude because of 15N amplifier limitations. Figure 4B shows the resulting PISEMA spectrum of the 15N1,3,5,7-labeled gramicidin A in hydrated DMPC bilayers. The same 15N–1H dipolar interaction is expected for all these labeled sites, as indicated in the spectrum, because the 15N labels with their attached 1H have virtually the same orientation with respect to the magnetic field direction (21, 22). From a slice taken at 198 ppm along the dipolar dimension, as shown on the left, the dipolar linewidth of 980 Hz is obtained.

Figure 5A shows a modified pulse sequence for PISEMA experiments. Instead of using the LG+Y-LG-Y cycle of FSLG as in Fig. 4A, a supercycle of LG+Y-LG+Y-LG+Y-LG+Y-LG+Y-LG+Y-LG+Y-LG+Y-LG+Y is used in the FSLG sequence. Although it is not clear if such a supercycle performs better proton homonuclear dipolar decoupling, as is the case for the heteronuclear decoupling in solution NMR (34), it reduces the number of the phase alternations of the effective fields by half for a given spin lock time. In terms of the spin locking, the supercycle is essentially the same as using FSLG with 4π rotation. As discussed above, T1H for 15N in the tilted rotating frame was about twice as long as that using 2π rotation, as indicated in Fig. 2. And it is also applicable to the 15N spin lock field. However, the effect of the 15N spin lock on the quality of the PISEMA spectrum is less significant than that of the proton spin lock because the spin–lattice relaxation time T1H for 15N in the tilted rotating frame is usually much longer than T1H. Thus it is anticipated that a shorter T1H could result in a narrower linewidth in the dipolar dimension of the PISEMA spectra. Figure 5B shows the PISEMA spectrum of the 15N1,3,5,7-labeled gramicidin A in hydrated DMPC bilayers using the pulse sequence in Fig. 5A. A
slice taken at 198 ppm along the dipolar dimension, as shown on the left, indicates a dipolar linewidth of 700 Hz, which is about a 30% improvement compared to the result from Fig. 4.

In conclusion, a rapid phase alternation of the effective field in the FSLG sequence results in averaging of the RF spin lock field so that the spin locking of the proton magnetization becomes less efficient and thus dramatically shortens the spin–lattice relaxation time $T_{1p}^H$ in the tilted rotating frame. Since the $T_{1p}^H$ in the tilted rotating frame may be directly associated with the dipolar linewidth in the PISEMA spectra, prolonging $T_{1p}^H$ could further improve resolution in the PISEMA spectra. It has been demonstrated that the dipolar linewidths in the PISEMA spectra can be reduced with the use of the simple supercycles in the PISEMA pulse sequence. Further pulse sequence development along this direction is currently under investigation.
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